1 2 3 4 5 6	ANGELA H. DOWS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10339 adows@premierlegalgroup.com PREMIER LEGAL GROUP 1333 North Buffalo Drive, Suite 210 Las Vegas, Nevada 89128 Telephone: (702) 794-4411 Facsimile: (702) 794-4421 Pro Bono Counsel Referred via the Legal Aid Center of Southern Nevada for Plaintiff HYRUM JOSEPH WEST			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA			
9	***			
10 11	HYRUM JOSEPH WEST,) 2:13-cv-00271-APG-VCF			
12 13 14 15	Plaintiff, v. Defendants. Plaintiff, STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL OPPOSITION AND SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY TO MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT ORDER			
16 17 18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Angela H. Dows, Esq., counsel for			
19	Plaintiff HYRUM JOSEPH WEST, and Craig R. Anderson, Esq., and Jonathan B. Lee, Esq.,			
20	counsel for Defendants NYE COUNTY, et al., that the dates for: (1) Plaintiff HYRUM JOSEPH			
21	WEST to file his supplemental opposition be extended to thirty (30) days following the final			
22	decision on Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Discovery as to Newly-Discovered Evidence [ECF No.			
23	92], and (2) Defendants' supplemental reply be extended to fourteen (14) days after the filing of			
24	Plaintiff's supplemental opposition.			
25	The parties also agree that a final decision on Plaintiff's Motion [ECF No. 92] includes			
26	an allowance of time for the parties to file and obtain ruling(s) on, if filed, any objections to any			
27 28	report(s) or order(s) as to Plaintiff's Motion This is the first stipulation filed in the matter to			

27

28

-			
2			
3	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
4	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
5	***		
6	HYRUM JOSEPH WEST,)	
7	Plaintiff,) 2:13-cv-00271-APG-VCF	
8	Fiamum,) <u>FINDINGS OF FACT,</u>	
9	V.) <u>CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND</u>) <u>ORDER THEREON</u>	
10	NYE COUNTY, et al.,)	
11	Defendants.)	
12			
13	FINDINGS OF FACT		
14	Based on the pending Stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing therefore, the		
15	Court finds that:		
16	1. On December 28, 2018, Plaintiff HYRUM JOSEPH WEST filed a Motion to		
17	Extend Discovery as to Newly-Discovered Evidence [ECF No. 92.]		
18	2. The Court's ruling on Plaintiff's Motion [ECF No. 92] may ultimately result in		
19			
20	additional discovery or briefing in the case, all of which could have an impact upon dispositive		
21	issues, including Defendants' pending motion for summary judgment.		
22	3. Thus, the parties anticipate that Plaintiff's Motion [ECF No. 92] to extend		
23	discovery will need to be briefed and concluded prior to effectuating final briefing as to		
24	Defendants' Renewed Motion for Summary Judgment. [See ECF No. 91.]		
25	4. That Plaintiff HYRUM JOSEPH WEST is incarcerated, and does not object to the		
26	subject continuance.		
27			

The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but

5.

merely to allow the parties to resolve an important discovery issue in the instant case, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

6. Additionally, denial of this request for a continuance could result in the miscarriage of justice without resolving the instant discovery dispute prior to concluding dispositive motion briefing.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The additional time requested by this stipulation is sought in good faith not sought for purposes of delay, but instead to allow the parties adequate time to work through a discovery matter, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. For all of the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a brief continuance of the supplemental opposition and supplemental reply to Defendants' motion for summary judgment.

ORDER

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the previously-scheduled deadline of January 10, 2019 for Plaintiff HYRUM JOSEPH WEST to file his supplemental opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment is extended to thirty (30) days following the final decision or receipt of discovery on Plaintiff's Motion to Extend Discovery as to Newly-Discovered Evidence [ECF No. 92].

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendants' supplemental reply be extended to fourteen (14) days after the filing of Plaintiff's supplemental opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment.

ANDREW P. GORDON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Dated: January 10, 2019.